FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 21 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ERICK IVAN DURAN CORTE,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-74855

Agency No. A96-059-443

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 17, 2006**

Before: B. FLETCHER, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982)

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-74855

(per curiam) (stating standard); see also Falcon Carriche v. Ashcroft, 350 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2003); Jimenez-Angeles v. Ashcroft, 291 F.3d 594, 602-03 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.